

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2001

LB 852

length, now has been debated at great length the first time around. Now we've reconsidered and now we're going to keep debating it. But I think that we can come up with something that is good for all of Nebraska. Yeah, this does happen to be in western Nebraska, but we all have to vote on each other's boundaries and that's just part of the process. But I appreciate the body's willingness to listen and I hope that you will vote to adopt AM2095. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Mr. President, the discussions about compromise are certainly interesting and enlightening. I will tell you that some of this material I have a different recollection of, but that's kind of the way things are around here. Senator Smith objected to another proposal that I think represented a legitimate compromise and then that was withdrawn without a vote. Now that's Senator Erdman's prerogative to withdraw a proposal without a vote. But I wonder what we'd have done if that had gotten 25 votes. Remember, that proposal was only offered when the earlier proposal was rejected. Will we see that one again if this one is rejected? So I think maybe we ought to talk about the alternative and that is the one that Senator Smith objected to. And being interested in the views of his colleagues, Senator Erdman withdrew it. But what was Senator Smith's objection to that proposal? His objection to that proposal was it has kept his district exactly the same as I understand it. I can't imagine what the other objection was. It kept his district exactly the same and apparently he didn't think his district ought to remain exactly the same. It kept the 49th District exactly the same in Scotts Bluff County. I don't think keeping things the way they have been for ten years creates any extra confusion or undue burden on anyone. And I'm not quite sure why in one instance if a member objects that proposal is withdrawn. But in the other instance, if a member objects, a proposal is to be voted on and to be taken on its face. So I was...I am more than willing to compromise and try to find a resolution of this issue. But I don't think that Senator Erdman's current proposal does that. Proposal, what I think is labeled proposal C seems to me to be far more